QUALITY ASSURANCE COOPERATION AGREEMENT

between the
California Commission on Teacher Credentialing
and the
Association for Advancing Quality in Educator Preparation

This agreement establishes a framework for cooperation between the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Commission) and the Association for Advancing Quality in Educator Preparation (AAQEP) with regards to quality assurance activities in support of educator preparation in the State of California. The purpose of this agreement is to support quality assurance, continuous improvement, and innovation in educator preparation by entities approved by Commission as they seek and maintain national accreditation through AAQEP. This agreement is valid for seven years from the date of the second signature.

AAQEP affirms the Commission’s efforts to ensure quality preparation of educators to meet the needs of California’s children, families, schools, and communities and commits to ensuring quality educator preparation by providers approved by Commission. By entering this agreement, California affirms AAQEP’s mission of promoting and recognizing quality educator preparation that strengthens the education profession’s ability to serve all students, schools, and communities.

1. Standards Used in Quality Assurance Reviews in California

Quality Assurance Reviews conducted by AAQEP in California will be guided by the current version of the AAQEP standards (available at www.aaqep.org) and in keeping with AAQEP policy regarding the application of those standards. In conducting reviews of programs approved by the Commission AAQEP will require that all relevant Commission-adopted program standards and the Commission’s Common Standards be addressed.

Any institution approved by the Commission to offer educator preparation in California must continue to demonstrate that it meets the appropriate standards adopted by the Commission. This includes the current Common Standards and all program standards for all educator preparation programs leading to a California license, credential or added authorization from the Commission.

In addition, providers are welcome to orient their Quality Assurance Review to other relevant professional standards. Providers may do so either by aligning program curricula with program-specific national standards or by seeking recognition from one or more of the associations. Any such alignment or recognition is at the discretion of the provider.
2. Scope of Quality Assurance Reviews in California
   The scope of AAQEP accreditation sought by providers in California may include any or all
   programs approved by the Commission that require continuous accreditation by the
   Commission as specified in California Education Code §§44370-44374. The provider will clearly
   identify the programs included in the AAQEP process.

   The provider may, at its discretion, choose to include additional programs of study leading to a
degree or certificate designed for those intending to work as, or already working as,
educational professionals for AAQEP review. For such programs of study, where no state
standards are available or specified, the provider shall identify any standards in addition to the
AAQEP standards to which its program is aligned.

   If a provider’s program holds national accreditation or recommendation, that meets the AAQEP
requirements and the provider would not need to produce additional information for the
AAQEP self-study. The program, if it is a program approved by the Commission, would still
need to participate in the Commission’s Program Review, Common Standard review, and site
visit processes.

3. Quality Assurance Review Process
   All Quality Assurance Reviews conducted by AAQEP will be guided by AAQEP’s policies and
procedures as specified in the Guide to Accreditation, available at www.aaqep.org. AAQEP’s
process includes an optional proposal review stage two to three years prior to a scheduled site
visit. All Quality Assurance Reviews include an off-site review process two months prior to each
site visit, conducted virtually, which Commission staff are welcome to attend, and an on-site
visit the scope of which is determined by program size and complexity.

   The institution’s California accreditation activities as defined in the Commission’s accreditation
schedule will continue to take place. These activities include annual data submission,
submission of Preconditions, Program Review and Common Standards Submission as well as
the accreditation site visit. Should the Commission change its required accreditation activities in
any way, the institution must adhere to those as well.

   The AAQEP Quality Assurance site visit may be scheduled concurrent to the institution’s
Commission accreditation site visit. If the visit is scheduled concurrently with the Commission’s
accreditation site visit, the teams may share interviews and the review of data or other
information. Both site visit teams (AAQEP’s and the Commission’s) will each prepare their own
reports. Each report will continue through the agency’s standard process.

4. Quality Assurance Review Team Composition
   AAQEP will appoint a sufficient number of Quality Assurance Review Team members to each
review case to ensure that the review is carried out thoroughly and efficiently. Each AAQEP
review team will include at least one educational practitioner from California nominated by the
provider hosting the review; that practitioner will receive training and support from
AAQEP. The local practitioner(s) will support the review team’s understanding of and respect for the local and state educational context.

In addition to the AAQEP-appointed members, one or more Commission staff member(s) may participate as observers. Other observers, including those seeking a better understanding of the AAQEP process, may participate as agreed to by AAQEP and the host provider. The provider will need to also participate in the Commission’s site visit process to ensure compliance with all Commission standards that are not addressed in the AAQEP process. The Commission-AAQEP Alignment Matrix identifies the Common Standards that are not fully addressed by the AAQEP Process.

5. Reviewer Preparation and Qualifications
All review team members will meet AAQEP preparation and quality requirements. Reviewer training and professional learning opportunities will be made available to Commission staff at no cost (though the Commission remains responsible for any travel expenses); Commission observers need not have participated in the training as a condition for joining review teams as observers.

6. Relation of Accreditation to Program Approval
Institutions eligible to seek AAQEP accreditation must be a Commission approved program sponsor with full approval (may not be in provisional approval). All educator preparation programs leading to a California license, credential, or added authorization must be Commission approved. Any new programs that are proposed that would culminate in the recommendation for a California credential must complete the Commission’s Initial Program Approval process. Continued program approval is embedded in the Commission’s cycle of accreditation activities.

7. Accreditation Decisions
AAQEP accreditation decisions are made by the AAQEP Accreditation Commission. Accreditation statuses and terms are detailed in AAQEP’s Guide and policy documents, available at www.aaqep.org. Full accreditation is for a term of 7 years. Observers from the Commission are welcome to attend meetings of the Accreditation Commission at which cases of California providers are considered (meetings are typically conducted via video conference). Accreditation decisions, including the reports on which decisions are based and the Accreditation Commission’s rationale for each decision, will be shared with the designated administrator at the Commission, which for purposes of this agreement is: Cheryl Hickey, chickey@ctc.ca.gov 916-322-0695.

California’s accreditation decisions are made by the Committee on Accreditation (Committee) a statutory body appointed by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. The report from the Commission’s site visit team will be presented to the Committee and the Committee will make the determination of the institution’s accreditation status for California and the ability to continue to recommend program completers for California licenses, credentials, and added authorizations.
Whenever AAQEP contacts any institution approved by the Commission to sponsor educator preparation leading to a California license, credential, or added authorization, regarding implementation of policies or concerning an upcoming site visit, the identified administrator or designee at the Commission will be included in the communication.

8. Communication and Logistics of Collaboration
Providers seeking AAQEP accreditation will ensure that the Commission is apprised of the schedule of accreditation activities, particularly of target site visit dates. AAQEP and the Commission will make every effort to coordinate the dates for the concurrent site visits taking into account the current cycle of accreditation activities mandated for all Commission approved programs. Providers will also send electronically a final copy of or links to the final version of their Quality Assurance Report (the self-study) to the Commission at the same time they provide it to AAQEP.

The Commission and AAQEP will each notify the other entity if any action is taken regarding the accreditation or approval status of any program approved by the Commission and accredited by or seeking accreditation from AAQEP.

AAQEP will communicate scheduled times of off-site and on-site reviews with Commission staff and schedules for such events at least eight weeks in advance for the off-site review and nine months in advance for the on-site review. AAQEP will also apprise designated Commission staff of the schedule of Accreditation Commission meetings at which cases from California providers will be considered. In addition, AAQEP will provide designated Commission staff with copies of all reports or official letters for California providers generated by the review team and by the Accreditation Commission.

9. AAQEP Formative Support Option
AAQEP will make available to California providers, in cooperation with and at the discretion of the Commission, a formative support process designed to address identified programmatic shortcomings. AAQEP facilitates program and provider improvement for entities that have identified specific shortcomings in their work, including cases where shortcomings have been identified through external evaluations such as state or accreditation reviews. AAQEP will enter into an agreement with the provider and the Commission to establish a timeline, priorities, and outcomes for such reviews.

10. Membership
AAQEP views cooperation among accreditors, educator preparation providers, and state credentialing agencies as essential to effective quality assurance. It enters into this agreement with the intent of supporting both the Commission and providers authorized by it in ensuring the preparation of excellent and effective teachers and other professional educators. The Commission agrees to join AAQEP as a state member at no cost in support of these goals.
AAQEP-Commission Agreement

In support of shared goals, AAQEP will provide supportive services including workshops and webinars to providers in California at reasonable cost. Commission staff may participate in any AAQEP activities offered within California at no cost (with the exception of travel expenses, meals, or lodging). AAQEP will also provide one Commission staff member with a no-cost registration to its Annual Quality Assurance Symposium and provide any additional Commission staff registration at the reduced member rate. In addition, Commission staff may participate in AAQEP professional learning events, including reviewer training, at no cost (travel, etc., excepted, as above).
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